

MNRTF Acquisition Application Evaluation Worksheet-Pre

Grant Amount Requested

\$150700

Match Percentage

26%

Match Amount

\$53100

Total Project Cost

\$203800

Preliminary Score
Acquisition Core Criteria

355

1. PUBLIC SUPPORT

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) The proposed project received multiple support documents by diverse stakeholders in the community. The applicant is addressing any public opposition or concerns. Opposition based primarily on the desire to keep the public from the state's natural resources will not be considered.	10	10	
B) The proposed project received minimal support documents. The applicant is addressing any public opposition or concerns. Opposition based primarily on the desire to keep the public from the state's natural resources will not be considered.	5		
C) The proposed project received no support documents.	0		
Maximum Possible Points	15	10	

Comments to Applicant:

25 letters or emails for support from individuals, gov'ts, businesses, and non-profits.

2. PROPOSED MAINTENANCE

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Within the provided maintenance plan and associated materials, the applicant has demonstrated that a <u>combination of</u> long-term dedicated funding (millage, operation & maintenance budget, etc.), existing and permanent fulltime operational staff, multi-year contracts, or a formal endowment exists which relates to continual and on-going care of the proposed improvements.	20	20	

B) Within the provided maintenance plan and associated materials, the applicant has demonstrated at a minimum that <u>one</u> of the following is in place: long-term dedicated funding (millage, operation & maintenance budget, etc.), existing and permanent full-time operational staff, multi-year contracts, or a formal endowment exists which relates to the continual and on-going care of the proposed improvements.	10		
C) Only a maintenance plan was provided with <u>no or limited</u> additional details ensuring that the continual and on-going care of the proposed improvements are in place.	0		
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C)	20	20	

Comments to Applicant:

Noquemanon Trail Network along with IOHT to maintain trails once developed with millage.

3. SITE QUALITY

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Ability to Get to the Site: The park can be directly and safely accessed and is appropriately located for the type of project.	20	20	
B) Compatibility— Site is compatible with its intended purpose. Site is compatible with the proposed site design, if the site is to have developed facilities in the future. Site design is clear and understandable.	20	20	
C) Conservation or Green Space Planning: Proposed project is part of a local or regional plan which focuses on land conservation or green space planning. Documentation has been provided to MNRTF staff.	25	0	
D) Property will be purchased fee simple.	20	20	
Maximum Possible Points (A + B + C + D)	85	60	

Comments to Applicant:

A) Ability to Get to the Site: Site is located along the existing IOHT route which will provide access to all users. Numerous trailheads exist along the route.

C) While conservation and trail development are goals of the provided county and local plans, the primary focus of the plan is not land conservation or green infrastructure planning.

4. APPLICANT HISTORY

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Applicant has not received an acquisition grant from the recreation grant program in the past 10 years.	20	20	

B) Per capita acquisition grant assistance received by the applicant in the past 10 years is less than the median value awarded to all communities over the past 10 years.	10		
C) Per capita acquisition grant assistance received by the applicant in the past 10 years exceeds the median value awarded to all communities over the past 10 years.	0		
Applicant's per capita acquisition grant assistance:	\$0.00		
D) Compliance with Program Procedures: The applicant is in compliance with all requirements at park sites that have been acquired or developed with recreation grant assistance in the past—including signage requirements. Also, the applicant has complied with Department procedures while completing grant-assisted projects (acquisition and development) awarded in the past 6 years. Points will be awarded if the applicant has never received a grant. Issues that are considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PA execution (60 days) • PSB and contractor approval (180 days) • Progress reports (every 180 days) • Final reimbursement (90 days after the end of the project period) • Recognition plaques are in place at grant-assisted sites • Conversions or other significant changes in use at grant-assisted sites • Post-completion reports 	25	25	
E) Applicant has a formal recreation department/DNR division or parks committee.	10	10	
F) Conversion History: Applicant has a known unresolved conversion of a grant-assisted site to a use that does not qualify as public outdoor recreation (applies to all grant programs).	-50	0	
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C) + D + E + F	55	55	

Comments to Applicant:

5. NATURAL RESOURCE ACCESS AND CONSERVATION

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) The project will effectively implement the priorities identified in Michigan's Wildlife Action Plan to conserve the most imperiled or rare species and community types, or include Critical Dune Areas, frontage on Great Lakes or their connecting water bodies (Detroit River, St. Mary's River, St. Clair River, or Lake St. Clair), frontage on Designated Natural Rivers, land that is or will become part of a dedicated wilderness, natural area, or Pigeon River Country State Forest.	70		
B) The project will conserve or provide direct access to documented high-quality natural communities or resources, rivers, or lakes which are not listed in criteria A.	50	50	
C) The project will conserve or provide direct access to a commonly found natural resource.	30		

D) The acquisition and intended use of the property will provide negligible natural resource protection or use OR: No natural resource values were noted in the application materials.	0		
E) Project will provide a good quality hunting, fishing or wildlife viewing opportunity.	20	20	
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C or D) + E	90	70	

Comments to Applicant:

American Bittern(SC), grey wolf (SC), Bald Eagle(SC), Northern flying squirrel(SC), porcupine, fisher, American marten, hawks, heron. Letter of support by DNR Wildlife Biologist.

Site will be open for deer hunting and fishing, Brook Trout.

6. FINANCIAL NEEDS OF THE APPLICANT

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Lowest one-third median household income	40	40	
B) Middle one-third median household income	20		
C) Upper one-third median household income	0		
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C)	40	40	

Comments to Applicant:

MHI \$43,265 IOHT communities, 2020 US Census UPDATE

7. URBAN AREA RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES (PARKS WITHIN URBAN BOUNDARIES AS DEFINED BY THE U.S. CENSUS BUREAU)

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Park is within the political boundaries of a core or inner ring city for a Metropolitan Statistical Area.	60		
B) Park is within the urbanized area for a Metropolitan Statistical Area	45		
C) Park is within the urbanized area of a Micropolitan Statistical Area	30		
D) Park is within an urbanized area which doesn't meet the criteria for a Metropolitan or Micropolitan Statistical Area, or park is within 15 miles from an urbanized area.	15	15	

E) Park is not within 15 miles of an urbanized area.	0		
Urban Area in which the project is located:			
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C or D or E)	60	15	

Comments to Applicant:

8. APPLICANT MATCH

Local Match Percentage	Top ~2% Median Household Income*	Top 1/3rd MHI	Middle 1/3rd MHI	Bottom 1/3rd MHI
0-25%	0	0	0	0
26-29%	0	0	10	15
30-39%	0	10	15	25
40-49%	10	15	25	35
50%+	15	25	35	45

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
Maximum Possible Points	45	15	

Comments to Applicant:

26% match in lower MHI.

9. ENTRANCE FEES

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) No planned entrance fee; OR Site will be readily accessible by methods other than the automobile and there will be no entrance fee when using these alternative methods to get to the park (e.g., public transportation, bicycle, walk-in); OR There will be an entrance fee with partial or complete waiver available and the waiver policy is likely to be effective in bringing people with low incomes into the park.	25	25	

B) There will be an entrance fee with partial or full waiver but effectiveness in bringing people with low incomes into the park is questionable; OR Park entrance fee will be waived, reduced, or by-donation-only on a regular basis for all users.	15		
C) There will be an entrance fee with no waiver.	0		
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C)	25	25	

Comments to Applicant:

10. LAND OWNERSHIP

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Project is located in a county that contains 50% or more privately owned land.	15	15	
Maximum Possible Points (A or B or C)	15	15	

Comments to Applicant:

11. MOTORIZED RECREATION USE

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Project allows motorized recreation use (ORV and/or snowmobile)	5	0	
Maximum Possible Points	5	0	

Comments to Applicant:

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS UNDER CORE ACQUISITION CRITERIA 1-11	450	325	

ACQUISITION PROJECT PRIORTIES OF THE MNRTF BOARD

1. TRAILS

	Maximum Possible Points	Preliminary Score	Final Score
A) Regional land trail/trailhead or documented or designated (state or federal) water trail: Acquisition of land that will be a trail or trailhead which is documented, promoted, and easily identified (signs).	50		

B) Local land trail/trailhead or documented water trail: Acquisition of land for a trail or trailhead which is documented but not as promoted or easily identified as A.	30	30	
C) Project will not acquire property for a trail or trailhead.	0		

2. REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT

A. The Department has determined that the project is regionally significant to their prosperity region, the state of Michigan, the Midwest or the country through a combination of their planning processes, diversified partners, and uniqueness and significance of natural resources or recreational opportunities. In addition, the project would provide public natural resource based recreational opportunities that are not otherwise available within a reasonable distance. When viewed in its entirety, the project is likely to significantly affect the quality of life for the regional community and visitors.	50		
B. Project is not regionally significant to the prosperity region, the State of Michigan, the Midwest, or the country.	0	0	

3. LAKE AND RIVER PUBLIC ACCESS

A) Acquisition of land (or permanent public access easement) that includes Great Lakes shoreline that is located in a DNR mapped "gap area where there is a stretch of shoreline greater than 5 miles without public access. The Great Lakes shoreline gap map is available on the DNR website at: https://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,4570,7-350-79133_85340_85625-373280--,00.html	50		
B) Acquisition of land (or permanent public access easement) that includes Great Lakes shoreline that is not located in a mapped "gap area but increases public access to the Great Lakes shoreline, or land (or permanent public access easement) on an inland lake greater than 100 acres in size, or on a navigable river where there is a stretch greater than 5 miles without public access.	30		
C) Acquisition of land that does not include Great Lakes shoreline, or access to an inland lake greater than 100 acres in size, or on a navigable river.	10	0	

4. WILDLIFE HABITAT AND HUNTING ACCESS**A) Winter Deer Yards**

I. Acquisition of functioning winter thermal cover (does not require habitat management) within the core a of DNR-identified winter deer yard. Documentation, such as a letter from the DNR or planning document will need to be provided for confirmation of DNR-identified winter deer yard.	50		
II. Acquisition of lowland within a DNR-identified winter deer yard that requires habitat management to become fully functioning winter thermal cover. Documentation such as a letter from the DNR or planning document was provided for confirmation of DNR-identified winter deer yard.	30		

III. Acquisition of uplands immediately adjacent to a DNR-identified winter deer yard, i.e., land containing habitat that supports deer in the deer yard. Documentation such as a letter from the DNR or planning document was provided for confirmation of DNR-identified winter deer yard.	10		
IV. Acquisition of land that is not a deer yard or does not contain habitat that supports deer in a deer yard.	0	0	

B) Wildlife Corridors and Natural Area Buffers

I. Corridor: The acquisition provides a connection between at least two existing protected properties sufficient for wildlife passage.	50		
II. Buffer: The acquisition provides a complete connection on at least one entire side of an existing protected property and documentation was provided.	50		
III. The acquisition provides a connection to at least a portion of an existing protected property significant enough to provide a buffer and documentation was provided.	30		
IV. The proposed acquisition does not adjoin an existing protected property or does not provide a connection that provides an effective buffer or wildlife corridor or sufficient documentation was not provided to give corridor or buffer points.	0	0	

C) Hunting Access

I. The acquisition provides a new dedicated hunting area in a location of the state that has been identified as lacking in hunting opportunity acreage per the DNR's Managed Public Land Strategy. Eligible projects will involve 500 or more acres of land in one of the strategy zones OR, if less than 500 acres, are in a strategy zone and located where it is reasonable to conclude that the area can grow to 500+ acres in the future.	50		
II. The acquisition does not provide a new dedicated hunting area or does not meet eligibility requirements.	0	0	
Maximum Points for Priority Project Criteria = 50 Total Points (highest score from 1, 2, 3, or 4)	50	30	


Board Priority Comments to Applicant:

Trails: Land being acquired is not part of the main IOHT regional trail route, but will be developed as internal trails in the same way as a traditional park. Trail points for local trail due to the relatively large property.

Maximum Points Possible = 500	Total Application Points	355	
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----	--


General Comments to Applicant:

The 2023 - 2027 Iron Ore Heritage Trail Recreation Survey - Only Eleven Questions




Q3 Which sections of the Trail have you been on? (Choose all that apply)

Answered: 322 Skipped: 8




Q4 Which sections of the Trail do you use most often? (Choose all that apply)

Answered: 303 Skipped: 27




The 2023 - 2027 Iron Ore Heritage Trail Recreation Survey - Only Eleven Questions




Q7 What ways do you use the Trail? (Choose all that apply)

Answered: 307 Skipped: 23




Q8 Have you ever experienced any problems on the Trail? (Choose all that apply)

Answered: 208 Skipped: 122



The 2023 - 2027 Iron Ore Heritage Trail Recreation Survey - Only Eleven Questions



2022 Trail Maintenance List

Trail amenities/signage	Who	Timeline	How to Pay
Put up rest of trail etiquette signs, MQT and Neg Twps	Fulsher, or LaBrecque	May	Maintenance Budget
Negaunee Township Trailhead	Oberstar & BLP	July/august	Grant and IOHt Budget
New Interp Frame to go up and 3 panels	City of Marquette and volunteers for panels	Soon?	Budgeted item
4 panels on frames, Ishpeming	Britton, Fulsher, Lempinen. UP FAB	spring	done
Take down safety markers Chocolay	LaBrecque	May	maintenance
Stay on trail signs near Northwoods Lane	Fulsher	May	no fee
Mile Marker Installment 41.75 in Chocolay	UP FAB/ Fulsher to stake and Miss Dig	June	William Gibson
Mile Marker Installment 37.25 Marquette	UP FAB/ Fulsher to stake and Miss Dig	June	Tracey Hokenson
Mile Marker Installment 22.5 Ispeming	UP FAB/ Fulsher to stake and Miss Dig	June	Todd Kauppinen
Broken bike pump Marquette Commons	Fulsher	May/June	maintenance
Flasher out on County Road 492 sign	Mqt Co. Road Commission	August	trail supplies/maintenance budget
Millage banners up	Britton/Peters/Fulsher/Luce/Hendrickson	6-Jun	done
Fix split rail fence in Ishpeming	NMU construction club? Boy Scouts?	Summer/Fall	maintenance budget
Counters , need 1 or 2 new ones of get them fixed	Fulsher	Summer	Chocolay, Neg Twp
Fencing at Stoneville Road destroyed intentionally	Decide on expansion of Parking Lot/entrance	15-Jul	Insurance, maint. ORV funds
Adopt a Mile signs at kiln and Welcome Center	LaBrecque	September	maintenance budget
Adopt a Mile signs 492/35	Fulsher	September	Signage
Put mile marker safety markers back up	LaBrecque	November	Maintenance Budget
Take down banners after August 2	Board Members, Fulsher	August	no cost
Two mile markers ordered september 7	UP FAB/ Fulsher to stake and Miss Dig	September	Jeff Nelson
Bike Station (already owned) at Negaunee Township	LaBrecque	September	maintenance budget
Trail Upgrades			
Ishpeming/Negaunee trail pavement rehab, 1200 linear feet	Awarded to Oberstar, Fulsher Britton spraypainted sections	June 27- July 14	Maintenance Budget

New aggregate, grading, compacting Tilden and Ishpeming	Bid system to Mike Tembreull	July	ORV/Maint. Budget
Ledgeway Aggregate squirrelly after rains	Don Britton reviewed, suggested add'l aggregate and rolling, bid project or asphalt?		
2023 Maintenance Budget			
Trail Maintenance			
ORV Grading Ely/Tilden to Humboldt/Republic lines	Geomaterials/Jeremy Nyquist	June	ORV Grant Funds
ORV Grading Ely/Tilden to Humboldt/Republic lines, 2nd	Ultra	August	DNR Funds
Sweeping	Joe LaBrecque	May	Maintenance Funds
ORV Grading Ishpeming/Negaunee	Tembreull	September	ORV Grant Funds
Mowing and sweeping	Joe LaBrecque	August, Oct (if need	Maintenance and volunteer
ORV Spring Maintenance	TEAM Riders	May	ORV Funds
ORV Summer Maintenance	TEAM Riders	August	ORV Funds
r/r gate repaired	Smith Paving	May	Smith Paving - snowmobile club
new locks on r/r gates	Fulsher	June	Wolverine Door locks, trail supply fund
Water on trail, dam build up	Laitala breaking dams and cleaning culverts;		
Adopt a Milers Clean Up	Mike Peller trapping	May/June	ORV funds
Clear Culvert east of 478 Ely Twp	Adopt a Milers	May/June	Adopt a milers - tees
Brushing, Holiday Inn to 492.35	Jeremy Nyquist broke up dam	2-Jun	ORV Funds
Calcium Chlorate coating on ORV trail in Ishpeming	Tony Harry	June	IOHT maintenance funds
Review trail for trees down, cut trees/branches and washouts	Joe LaBrecque	July/august	ORV/IOHT funds
Brushing, Ishpeming to Republic as need	Britton/LaBrecque	May	Maintenance Budget
	LaBrecque	September	ORV and Maintenance budget



**OFF-ROAD VEHICLE TRAIL IMPROVEMENT FUND
GRANT AGREEMENT # 23-047**

By authority of Part 811 of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act 1994 PA 451, as amended.

This Agreement is between Iron Ore Heritage Recreation Authority, Federal ID Number 26-1571652, of Marquette County (hereinafter referred to as the SPONSOR), and the Department of Natural Resources, an agency of the state of Michigan (hereinafter referred to as the DEPARTMENT). Part 811 of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (1994 PA 451, as amended) authorizes the DEPARTMENT to distribute revenues in the form of grants to public agencies and non-profit, incorporated clubs and organizations. Through the Off-road Vehicle (ORV) Trail Improvement Fund grant program, the DEPARTMENT may issue grants for trail maintenance, restoration of ORV damaged areas on public land, and for development of new ORV facilities.

TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT

1. The grant period begins on October 1, 2022 and ends on September 30, 2023. This Agreement is not valid until it has been signed by both the SPONSOR and the DEPARTMENT.
2. The SPONSOR agrees to complete the scope of work listed in Attachment 1 within the grant period, or request an extension at least 45 calendar days prior to the ending date of this agreement, as referenced in item 6 of this agreement.
3. The DEPARTMENT agrees to provide funding to the SPONSOR for the scope of work listed in Attachment 1 not to exceed \$73,665.00.
4. The DEPARTMENT will make payment to the SPONSOR in the form of advance payments, partial payments, or reimbursements according to procedures outlined in the current Off-Road Vehicle (ORV) Trail Improvement Fund Grant Handbook (IC3600).
5. Costs eligible for payment/reimbursement are limited to costs directly attributed to completing the scope of work listed in Attachment 1.
6. Amendments to this agreement may be made, upon request from the SPONSOR, and at the discretion of the DEPARTMENT. An amendment is required for a change in scope of work, change in the grant amount or any other terms of the agreement. The SPONSOR must make such requests in writing and no later than 45 days prior to September 30, 2023, or current Fiscal Year end. Amendments to this agreement are to be executed in the same manner as this agreement.
7. The SPONSOR agrees to complete the scope of work listed in Attachment 1 in compliance with all local, state and federal laws and regulations. The SPONSOR agrees to apply for and obtain all necessary permits prior to implementation of the scope of work listed in Attachment 1. This Agreement shall not be construed to obligate the DEPARTMENT or other agencies to issue any permits required for completion of the scope of work listed in Attachment 1.
8. The agreement, together with the following materials constitutes the entire agreement between the SPONSOR and the DEPARTMENT: The 2023 ORV Trail Improvement Fund Grant Program application; the REVISED 2023 Off-Road Vehicle (ORV) Trail Improvement Fund Grant Handbook IC3600; Off-Road Vehicle (ORV) Trail and Route Maintenance Handbook IC1991; Michigan Motorized Trail Signing Handbook (IC3602).
9. This agreement shall be administered on behalf of the DEPARTMENT through Parks and Recreation Division (PRD). All required forms and documents are to be provided to: Program Services Section, PRD, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, PO Box 30257, Lansing, MI 48909-7757, or to the assigned PRD Management Unit, as directed by the DEPARTMENT.
10. The SPONSOR's representative for this agreement is:

Name: Carol Fulsher
Address 102 W. Washington St Suite 232
City/State/Zip Marquette MI 49855-
Phone: (906) 235-2923 Fax:
Email: ironoreheritage@gmail.com



**OFF-ROAD VEHICLE TRAIL IMPROVEMENT FUND
GRANT AGREEMENT
ATTACHMENT 1**

ORV Trail Improvement Fund Grant Number: **23-047**
Iron Ore Heritage Recreation Authority

Scope of Work: Maintenance		Amount
1	Republic to Ishpeming - Annual Spring and Summer Maintenance - C & F routes	\$935
2	Ishpeming to Negaunee route - Annual Spring and Summer Maintenance - C & F routes	\$330
3	Liability insurance	\$1,400
4	Beaver Control - Nuisance beaver control on designated routes	\$5,000
5	Contingency - unforeseen trail damage	\$1,000
6	Culvert Maintenance - Clearing debris and unplugging culverts on route	\$5,000
TOTAL:		\$13,665
Scope of Work: Restoration		Amount
1	Gravel and grading - Grading 2x's/year with some gravel fill, June and September due to heavy usage	\$50,000
2	Trailhead maintenance - Trailhead gravel at Winthrop Junction	\$10,000
TOTAL:		\$60,000

CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY

PREPARED FOR: HOLLI FOREST PRODUCTS Inc.

A Parcel of Land Located in the NW1/4-NE1/4 & NE1/4-NW1/4 of Section Sixteen (16), T.47 N., R.27 W.



Scale- 1" = 100'
Date- Apr. 10, 2008
By- Larry J. Bussone

Canadian National Track
(Old D.S.S. & A.)

N1/4 Corner
Section 16-47-27
M.C.R. Monu. (in Box)

S 1°00'55"E 848.44
Due East 43.90
Point of Beginning

West R/W
NE1/4-NW1/4
NW1/4-NE1/4
Arc 243.10

R= 1410.00
D.o.c. (A)= 4°03'49"
L.A.= 243.10
L.C.= 242.80
Δ= 9°52'42"
Ch. brg.= S1°49'24"W

R= 861.00
D.o.c. (A)= 6°39'16"
L.A.= 705.01
L.C.= 685.48
Δ= 46°54'56"
Ch. brg.= N53°59'38"E

I.O.H.T.
Lease

East Line
NE-NW

South Line
NW-NE

SE Corner
NE-NW

S 89°46'43"W

745.40

300.00

S 89°29'50"W

Washington Street

Legal Description:

A parcel of land located in the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (NW1/4-NE1/4), and Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (NE1/4-NW1/4) of Section Sixteen (16) T.47 N., R.27 W. in the City of Ishpeming, Marquette Co. Michigan, more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the North 1/4 Corner of said Section 16; thence S 1°00'55"E 848.44 feet (along the East Line of said NE-NW); thence Due East 43.90 feet, (to the Point of Beginning, said point being on the West R/W of Washington Street); thence along said R/W on a 4°03'49" curve to the right (R=1410.00 feet D=9°52'42", L.C. bears S 1°49'24"W 242.80 feet) 243.10 feet, along the Arc; thence S 6°45'45"W 213.37 feet, (to the South Line of said NW-NE); thence S 89°29'50"W 3.00 feet, (to the SE Corner of said NE-NW); thence C/L on a 6°39'16" curve to the right (R=861.00 feet, D=46°54'56", L.C. bears N 53°59'38"E 685.48 feet) 705.01 feet along the Arc; thence N 76°29'04"E 233.17 feet, to the Point of Beginning. Said parcel contains 5.43 Acres more or less.

Surveyor's Certificate:

I hereby certify that I have surveyed and mapped the herein described parcel of land; that the ratio of closure of the



This portion of the lot that is sufficient in size and has the potential to be made into a reasonably-size trailhead which will accommodate vehicles pulling trailers

- * Area may be limited by wet / lowlands
- * Land will need to be surveyed and properly divided for proper deed
- * Parcel is not a totally independent lot for Quit Claim Deed – must be subdivided

STONEVILLE ROAD



2023 Priorities

Boardwalk Project

Recreation Plan submitted

Republic millage/Maybe Humboldt?

Maintenance: _person, truck, trailer, tools?

Administrator hire

Lakenenland Extension Preparations/grants,
engineering

Winthrop Junction parking lot, funding,
plans, Ishpeming lease

Stoneville parking lot. Just fix fencing or
make bigger and add vault toilet?

Lighting grant through Spark